

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. 1458 Advantage, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,884	03/30/2001	Geetha Ravishankar	95-461	4452
23164 75	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
LEON R TURKEVICH 2000 M STREET NW		LESNIEWSKI, VICTOR D		
7TH FLOOR	21 14 44		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			2152	·
		DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/820,884	RAVISHANKAR ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor Lesniewski	2152			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	_	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2152

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment filed 11/15/2005 has been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 1, 12, 15, 19, and 30 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1-40 are now pending.
- 4. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2005 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 11, 12, 18, 19, 29, 30, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,631,181), hereinafter referred to as Bates,

Art Unit: 2152

in view of Fuller et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,545,589), hereinafter referred to as Fuller, further in view of Kruesi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,504,915), hereinafter referred to as Kruesi.

Page 3

- 8. Bates disclosed a messaging system wherein a particular greeting announcement of multiple possible announcements is played to the caller. In an analogous art, Fuller disclosed a method for managing between a caller and an end user in a telecommunications network. Also, in an analogous art, Kruesi disclosed a distributed messaging system where multiple messaging platforms have access to multiple voice files. All three systems deal with the handling of telecommunications calls.
- 9. Concerning claims 1, 12, 19, and 30, Bates did not explicitly disclose retrieving an audible subscriber identifier. Although Bates states the ability of his system to retrieve a default greeting message and play this message when a first particular greeting is unavailable, he is not explicit about the specific makeup of the default message. However, Fuller states the use of a well-known type of standard or default greeting that includes retrieving an audible subscriber identifier to play with the default greeting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the system of Bates by adding the ability to retrieve an audible subscriber identifier as provided by Fuller. Here the combination satisfies the need for a messaging system that automatically plays a particular preprogrammed greeting message to a particular caller. See Bates, column 2, lines 18-26. This rationale also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.
- 10. Further concerning claims 1, 12, 19, and 30, the combination of Bates and Fuller did not explicitly disclose determining an inaccessibility of the subscriber announcement. However, determining an inaccessibility of data stored on a network server is well known in the art. In a

telecommunications environment, this is evidenced by Kruesi whose system determines an inaccessibility of a voice file at a certain node, in which case an alternate node is used to access a file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Bates and Fuller by adding the ability to determine an inaccessibility of the subscriber announcement as provided by Kruesi. Here the combination satisfies the need for improved file availability in a messaging system. See Kruesi, column 3, lines 1-11. This rationale also applies to those dependent claims utilizing the same combination.

- 11. Some claims will be discussed together. Those claims which are essentially the same except that they set forth the claimed invention as a server apparatus or a computer readable medium are rejected under the same rationale applied to the described claim.
- 12. Thereby, the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi discloses:
  - <Claims 1, 12, 19, and 30>

A method in a server configured for initiating a messaging session for an incoming call by accessing subscriber profile information from a directory server, the method comprising: attempting retrieval of a subscriber announcement for the messaging session (Bates, column 7, lines 8-12) from a messaging server (Bates, figure 1, item 10) based on the subscriber profile information (Bates, figure 1, item 24), the subscriber announcement stored in the messaging server as a first data file having a first size (Bates, figure 1, "greetings" A1-A10 and column 4, table 1); determining an inaccessibility of the subscriber announcement for the messaging session from the messaging server (Bates, column 7, lines 13-16 and Kruesi, figure 5B and column 9, line 52 through column 10, line 6); retrieving from the directory server an audible subscriber identifier, stored in the

Art Unit: 2152

directory server as a second data file having a second size substantially smaller than the first size, based on the determined inaccessibility of the subscriber announcement (Fuller, column 25, line 63 through column 26, line 2, where the "drop-in name" is substantially smaller than the greetings of Bates, table 1); and playing for the messaging session an alternate subscriber announcement including the audible subscriber identifier (Bates, column 7, lines 16-18).

• <Claims 11, 18, 29, and 40>

The method of claim 1, further comprising storing in the directory server the audible subscriber identifier, at a location associated with the corresponding subscriber profile information, prior to the retrieving step (Bates, column 7, lines 16-18 and Fuller, column 25, lines 63-65).

Since the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi discloses all of the above limitations, claims 1, 11, 12, 18, 19, 29, 30, and 40 are rejected.

- 13. Claims 2-6, 8, 13-16, 20-24, 26, 31-35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of Fuller further in view of Kruesi, as applied above, further in view of Edmonds et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,230,190), hereinafter referred to as Edmonds.
- 14. The combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi disclosed a messaging system wherein a particular greeting announcement of multiple possible announcements is played to the caller, including the possibility of playing a default greeting with a pre-recorded name dropped in. In

Art Unit: 2152

an analogous art, Edmonds disclosed a telephony process that supports unified messaging. Both systems deal with the routing of telecommunications calls.

Page 6

- 15. Concerning claims 2-4, 13-15, 20-22, and 31-33, the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi did not explicitly disclose the use of Internet Message Access Protocol. However, Edmonds states the use of an IMAP server that supports unified mailboxes to the web. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi by adding the ability to access the messaging server according to Internet Message Access Protocol as provided by Edmonds. Here the combination satisfies the need for a messaging system that automatically plays a particular preprogrammed greeting message to a particular caller. See Bates, column 2, lines 18-26.
- 16. Concerning claims 5, 6, 8, 16, 23, 24, 26, 34, 35, and 37, the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi did not explicitly disclose the use of Lightweight Directory Access Protocol. However, Edmonds states the use of LDAP to allow a messaging server to access directory services on the Internet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi by adding the ability to retrieve an audible subscriber identifier from a directory server according to Lightweight Directory Access Protocol as provided by Edmonds. Again the combination satisfies the need for a messaging system that automatically plays a particular preprogrammed greeting message to a particular caller. See Bates, column 2, lines 18-26.
- 17. Thereby, the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, and Edmonds discloses:

Art Unit: 2152

• <Claims 2, 13, 20, and 31>

The method of claim 1, wherein the attempting retrieval step includes attempting access to the messaging server according to Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) (Edmonds, column 8, lines 24-41).

<Claims 3, 14, 21, and 32>

The method of claim 2, wherein the attempting access step includes attempting a login procedure with the messaging server according to IMAP (Bates, column 3, lines 55-57 and Edmonds, column 8, lines 24-41).

<Claims 4, 15, 22, and 33>
 The method of claim 3, wherein the determining step includes determining a failure of the login procedure (Bates, column 5, lines 26-32).

Claims 5, 23, and 34>
 The method of claim 2, wherein the retrieving step includes retrieving the audible subscriber identifier from the directory server according to Lightweight Directory Access
 Protocol (LDAP) (Edmonds, column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 15).

• <Claims 6, 24, and 35>

The method of claim 5, wherein the audible subscriber identifier corresponds to a spoken name of the subscriber, the playing step including playing a generic announcement and the audible subscriber identifier as the alternate subscriber announcement (Fuller, column 25, line 63 through column 26, line 2).

Art Unit: 2152

• <Claims 8, 16, 26, and 37>

The method of claim 1, wherein the retrieving step includes retrieving the audible subscriber identifier from the directory server according to Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) (Edmonds, column 9, line 66 through column 10, line 15).

Since the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, and Edmonds discloses all of the above limitations, claims 2-6, 8, 13-16, 20-24, 26, 31-35, and 37 are rejected.

- 18. Claims 7, 25, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, and Edmonds, as applied above, in view of Official Notice.
- 19. The combination discloses:
  - <Claims 7, 25, and 36>

The method of claim 5, wherein the second data file is a .wav file (Official Notice). The use of WAV files for storing audio was well known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention. Therefore, Official Notice is taken.

Since the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, Edmonds, and Official Notice discloses all of the above limitations, claims 7, 25, and 36 are rejected.

- 20. Claims 9, 10, 17, 27, 28, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates in view of Fuller further in view of Kruesi, as applied above, further in view of Gebhardt et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,769,027), hereinafter referred to as Gebhardt.
- 21. The combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi disclosed a messaging system wherein a particular greeting announcement of multiple possible announcements is played to the caller,

Art Unit: 2152

. ....

including the possibility of playing a default greeting with a pre-recorded name dropped in. In an analogous art, Gebhardt disclosed a system for controlling the states of queues in relation to a message server. Both systems deal with the routing of messages in a communications system.

Page 9

- 22. Concerning claims 9, 10, 17, 27, 28, 38, and 39, the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi did not explicitly disclose storing a message in a delivery queue. However, Gebhardt focuses on controlling message queues between a message server and a database. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the combination of Bates, Fuller, and Kruesi by adding the ability to store a message in a delivery queue as provided by Gebhardt. Here the combination satisfies the need for a messaging system that automatically plays a particular preprogrammed greeting message to a particular caller. See Bates, column 2, lines 18-26.
- 23. Thereby, the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, and Gebhardt discloses:
  - <Claims 9, 27, and 38>
     The method of claim 1, further comprising: recording a message during the messaging session (Bates, column 7, lines 20-23); and storing the message in a delivery queue for delivery to the messaging server (Gebhardt, column 6, lines 14-19).
  - <Claims 10, 17, 28, and 39>
     The method of claim 9, further comprising periodically attempting delivery of the message stored in the delivery queue to the messaging server until one of a delivery acknowledgment is received, and a timeout error occurs (Gebhardt, column 6, lines 25-31).

Art Unit: 2152

Since the combination of Bates, Fuller, Kruesi, and Gebhardt discloses all of the above limitations, claims 9, 10, 17, 27, 28, 38, and 39 are rejected.

### Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

- Garcia (U.S. Patent Number 6,442,247) disclosed a telecommunications system including a computer-telephony integration processor that routes incoming calls, selects appropriate recorded messages for the calls, and retrieves recorded messages to be played to callers.
- Bedingfield et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,882,708) disclosed a system that allows for the
  exchange of voice mail messages between different VMSs of different service providers
  and different networks.
- 25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor Lesniewski whose telephone number is 571-272-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2152

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor Lesniewski Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2152

> BUNJOB JAROÉNCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER